	ACTION PLAN AFTER AMG MEETING 16 (01.12.10)								
Action No	Issue	Action	Resources	Priority	Progress				
11	Work with Village Hall Management Committees to improve lighting and warning notices.			1 - High	Oct 09: PH to contact VHMC. Funding to be discussed. Nov 09: PH had contacted the VHMC. Lighting could be improved but a higher VH priority was mending the leaking roof. The VHMC had asked to be considered for parish plan funding for lighting improvements. PH to ask for lighting costings. Feb 10: PH had had approval for the Budget from Suffolk ACRE but the allocation of funds for lighting was inadequate to enable the VH to proceed with lighting improvements. It was noted that the amount could form a contribution if other funding could be obtained by the VHMC and to hold the allocated budget for the present. April 10: GB to consider funding sources and advise in conjunction with funding for Action 23. July 10: VH request for Plan funds for lighting (and recycling bins) supported by Gt and Lt Bealings PC; PH to write to Playford PC. Sept 10: PH to write to Suffolk ACRE for release of £666 funding to Bealings VH Trust if Playford PC response is in favour. Other funds to be retained for a future Action in Playford. Oct 10: Playford PC had agreed. Bealing				

Action	Issue	Action	Resources	Priority	Progress
No					
13	teams.	Advertise for interest. Consider Inter- village Sports Day and links to Fun on the Field event		1 - High	<b>Oct 09</b> : PH to contact Bealings VHMC re progress. <b>Nov 09</b> : PH reported that the VHMC would consider this further if volunteers were available to run events. <b>Feb 10</b> : It was noted that the Bealings Challenge Cup had been arranged for 24 July and funding sought from SCC's 'Suffolk Open Weekend' - which enabled parish or town councils, community groups and groups of individuals across Suffolk to hold small cultural and sporting events to mark London 2012 Open Weekend. The event was intended to raise funding for the John Belstead Playing Field. It was agreed that JD would explore Playford participating in the event, within Playford and via the Bealings event organisers (Julian Haywood Smith and Keith Beaumont). <b>April 10</b> : JD reported that Playford residents were aware of the event, but that it was unlikely that any teams would enter. <b>July 10</b> : Bealings Challenge Cup had been successful and supported by both SCC and SCDC funding. PH to write to organisers and suggest an annual event? Playford to participate? <b>Dec 10</b> : Carry forward to 2011.
14	provision for film club.	Film Club organisers requested via School (TJ) and Benefice Magazine (CR)		1 - High	Oct 09: Two volunteers had responded to the advertisements. PH to arrange a meeting with the previous organisers and Hall representatives (Trisha Laws and Jenny Shaw) to develop further, before contacting SCDC (Doreen Savage) for support (eg copyright), considering PC involvement for insurance purposes and the need for CRB checks. Nov 09: PH had met with the volunteers and previous organisers. It had been agreed that the volunteers would consider suitable films to be shown, using the equipment as hired before, to establish demand and feasibility, but that if 'blockbusters' were to be shown new high quality equipment would need to be purchased. PH to check whether noise is an issue if films are to be shown in Bealings VH. Feb 10: PH had established that noise was not an issue and would be having more discussion with the two volunteers. March 10: PH reported that the volunteers were signing up to a Rural Screening service and had many ideas for the film club. CRB and insurance to be addressed in due course. April 10: PH reported that the volunteers had many ideas for films and themed evenings and they were investigating various options. July 10: No information had been received from the volunteers. Sept 10: It was noted Playford was running its own film event in December. Oct 10: A half term film event was being advertised by the volunteers. Nov 10: An event had been held by the volunteers and ascertain if another event was planned. Dec 10: Feedback on the event was very positive. AMG to support future events.

Action	Issue	Action	Resources	Priority	Progress
No					
23	Work with School, SCC and others to address issues of concern over children's travel to Bealings School.	Newsletter to parents, white lining of road, footpath provision and diversion of route to Playford, provision of other car parking		1 - High	Oct 09: Newsletter approved by School governors and sent to parents. White lining in place and parking had improved. SCC progressing agreed diversion of FP to Playford; current Ramblers' Association objection. School/FOBs considering other car parking provision. Nov 09: CR reported that the footpath diversion was unlikely to take place, but that the landowner and SCC were considering fencing off the field in order that the kissing gates could be removed from the route. This would improve pushchair access. Any alternative car parking area would be investigated by the School/FOBs. March 10: CR reported that the VHMC considered the damage caused to the car park surface as a result of the increased use by parents to be unsustainable. No funding was available for car park repairs. The VHMC suggested alternative parking should be pursued To be raised with Gillian Benjamin at next meeting. Lt B PC to arrange for Bealings Road Safety Group to meet to consider alternative parking provision etc. VHMC to be asked to cost resurfacing work. April 10: GB agreed to investigate funding sources for car park improvements and to attend the next meeting of the Bealings Road Safety Group. May 10: The Bealings Road Safety Group had met again, in view of VH concern about surface damage to the car park and the cost of maintenance. If surfacing works were carried out electric lighting should be installed at the same time. GB had raised funding with the LSP. SCC had been asked to repaint the white line to prevent parking between the Church and Holly Lane. July 10: No LSP money had been identified. Bealings Road Safety Group of its T

Action	Issue	Action	Resources	Priority	Progress
No				-	
					<b>Oct 10:</b> Suffolk ACRE was looking at ways to engage with the school. Lt Bealings PC had received the School Travel Plan, but it was out of date. The School had been asked to update and acknowledge VH parking arrangements. After a 'near miss' a parent was raising the issue at a FOBS meeting. The VH had asked Lt Bealings PC for assistance with funding for signs following a ROSPA inspection. <b>Nov 10:</b> A FOBs rep had now joined the Bealings VHMC and a parent was re-investigating a 'top level' path between the VH car park and the Church FP. The possibility of better road signs was being considered, perhaps in conjunction with the proposed new signs for the playing field. The parent was attending a PC meeting to report on progress. <b>Dec 10:</b> Lt Bealings PC had asked for SCC to consider improved road signs for the School and Playing Field and CIIr Bellfield had agreed to funding from his Locality Budget for 2010/11.

Action No	Issue	Action	Resources	Priority	Progress
26	Investigate provision of a footpath from Boot Street to Bealings Playing Field with SCC and landowners.	Investigate		1 - High 2 - Med	Oct 09: PH to write to Gt Bealings PC. Nov 09: PH had written to Gt Bealings PC. Feb 10: A reply was awaited from Gt Bealings PC. March 10: Gt Bealings PC had contacted the landowner who had rejected one proposed route and said that the other proposed line did not seem to worthwhile - it avoided only 400m of road walking. PH had responded saying that the avoidance of road walking was worthwhile and asking for the matter to be considered further. April 10: PH to pursue with Gt Bealings PC. May 10: PH had raised at Gt Bealings APM, in the context of traffic calming for Boot St. The landowner was not keen on a new route, but there was support for it at the APM. It was likely it would be used for walking to Bealings School. Sept 10: Gt Bealings PC was pursuing this with the landowner. Oct 10: CR to ask Gt Bealings PC for an update. Nov 10: Gt Bealings PC had advised that a FOBS representative had written to the landowner requesting the route. Dec 10: The landowner had responded and suggested a diversion of a route in Lt Bealings; this would be considered by Lt Bealings PC in January. CR declared a conflict on interest on this matter. Sept 09: Approach to interested householders being drafted. Feb 10: Concern about data
	improve household insulation and encourage householders to take advantage of grants, etc.	means of providing information and support to householde rs as appropriate			protection made it difficult to progress. Agreed that PH would contact SCDC. <b>March 10:</b> PH had contacted SCDC and was awaiting a reply. <b>April 10</b> : PH to pursue further with SCDC/interested residents; the schemes and entitlements were complex. <b>Sept 10</b> : PH had researched this further and established that those in receipt of benefits (eg pension credit, income support) were most likely to be eligible for assistance. He would interrogate the Parish Plan data with a view to putting eligible target groups in contact with SCDC, starting with a pension group.

Action	Issue	Action	Resources	Priority	Progress
No					
	Investigate recycling sites and liaise with SCDC concerning wider provision	Contact Gt Bealings PCC(?) re potential site at War Memorial Car Park and VHMC re potential site at VH	?	2 - Med	<b>Oct 09:</b> SCDC was arranging a refuse and recycling roadshow in Bealings VH car park on 15 January 2010, prior to wheeled based services coming to Lt Bealings. CR to pursue potential for glass recycling facility at one location in Lt Bealings. <b>Nov 09:</b> CR reported that the site was not available. CR to ask VHMC if there was now space for bottle banks at the VH in view of recent tree cutting. <b>Feb 10:</b> VHMC had confirmed in December that it was investigating one site. CR to ask for an update. <b>March 10:</b> CR reported that the VHMC had agreed to have glass recycling, with the location dependent upon a review of the car park layout and site of a potential annexe. <b>April 10:</b> CR to pursue update from VHMC. <b>May 10:</b> The VHMC discussed on 18 May, and CR would pursue update. <b>July 10:</b> VH request for Plan funds for recycling bins (and lighting) supported by Gt and Lt Bealings PC; PH to write to Playford PC. <b>Oct 10:</b> See Action 11 above. <b>Dec 10:</b> It was agreed that the outstanding need for more recycling facilities was unclear in view of SCDC's improved services since the Parish Plan survey. <b>No further action</b> unless a future Parish Plan survey identifies a continu

Action	Issue	Action	Resources	Priority	Progress
	Little Bealings/Great Bealings: work with SCC/BT to improve Hall Road junction. Playford: work with SCC to improve Church Lane/Bealings Road junction.			2 - Med	Oct 09: PH to investigate both junctions with SCC. Nov 09: PH had arranged to meet Luke Barber from SCC on 7 December, accompanied by some representatives from the PCs. Feb 10: PH had held a meeting with Luke Barber from SCC and PC representatives. LB had advised that there was no budget to make any improvements to these junctions. They did not have a sufficient accident record to justify expenditure. LB had passed on contact details for reporting overgrown vegetation. The cost of relocating the BT box at Hall Road was prohibitive. March 10: JD reported that Playford PC was pursuing verge and tree works at Church Lane. April 10: JD reported that works would be carried out at Church Lane, including removal of a large tree. July 10: Surfacing works had been carried out in Boot St. White lining was awaited, which should slow speed. Sept 10: It was uncertain if traffic calming would comprise white lining or speed bumps. The latter not considered effective. PH to discuss with Luke Barber. Oct 10: Gt Bealings PC had obtained locality/quality of life s
	Explore the reliability and timing of buses - especially for young people and local employees - and size of buses with bus companies.			2 - Med	<b>Feb 10:</b> PH to pursue data on bus services from SCC. <b>March 10</b> : PH to suggest links to park and ride/other routes to improve services. <b>April 10</b> : Updated timetables had been received which would be publicised via Noticeboards and websites. CR to email data to PH. <b>May 10</b> : PH had written to SCC and asked if the services met SCC's own objectives and encouraged use. A 'dial a ride' service for young people was considered impractical if it required use of school minbuses. It was uncertain if there was real demand. <b>July 10</b> : The 70 and 70A services had been publicised. PH would ask for copies of timetables to be kept io the pub, church porches, VHs etc. <b>Oct 10</b> : Timetables were being reprinted by SCC.

Action	Issue	Action	Resources	Priority	Progress
No					
	Organise litter pick with SCDC support or with the support of the Probation Service; see Action 4 above for improvements to reporting			2 - Med	<b>July 10:</b> Consider SCDC 'spring litter pick' provision at next meeting. <b>Sept 10:</b> SCDC provisions were noted, including the need for public liability insurance to be obtained. PH to write to PCs to suggest supporting the initiative next year - perhaps with assistance from local WI groups.
	Investigate loss of wildlife in the villages with Suffolk Wildlife Trust and landowners, including the possibility of a Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP). Consider investigating the successful work in Parham.			2 - Med	<b>July 10</b> : Ask for interest in setting up a group to consider via BM and newsgroup (CR/PH). <b>Sept 10</b> : BM had published information. <b>Oct 10</b> : No responses had been received via the BM, but the enewsgroup had had many replies. PH to contact those interested to organise a group. <b>Dec 10</b> : To be pursued in 2011, perhaps via APM gatherings?
	Discuss how to improve notice of spraying with local farmers; see Action 4 above			2 - Med	<b>Feb 10</b> : PH to seek advice from Eileen Stennett. <b>March 10</b> : ES had agreed to supply NFU information. <b>April 10</b> : ES had supplied information to PH. Notification of spraying was recommended but it was difficult to do in practice. It was agreed that an email via the newsgroup would be possible. PH to contact 3 local farmers to suggest they pass information to him to trial this. <b>May 10</b> : CR to supply 1 farmer's contact details to PH. <b>Oct 10</b> : PH to pursue.
	Advise SCDC of concern over noise from helicopters			2 - Med	<b>Feb 10:</b> PH to contact SCDC. <b>April 10</b> : PH to contact RAF Wattisham. <b>May 10</b> : PH had established that the Army not the RAF were responsible for the helicopters and would be contacting the Army Air Corp to ask for an explanation of the principles for flying over villages. <b>July 10</b> : Invite to visit RAF Wattisham to be publicised (CR/PH) <b>Oct 10</b> : Visit date proposed of 2 November; PH to pursue. <b>Nov 10</b> : The visit had been a success and there was a better understanding of operations. HW to write report for BM. <b>Dec 10</b> : <b>No further action</b> .

Action	Issue	Action	Resources	Priority	Progress
No					
	Parish Councils to inform SCDC of residents' desire for development of mains services.		None	3 - Low	<ul> <li>March 10: PH to email the plan data to CEO at SCDC. Also to be raised with Gillian Benjamin at next meeting. April 10: GB agreed to consider. July 10: CR to ask GB for an update. Sept 10: GB had supplied information on applications for gas and sewer connection. Oct 10: PH to consider. Nov 10: PH reported that this was a matter that individual residents would need to pursue according to need; a parish initiative was not practical. Dec 10: No further action.</li> </ul>